

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &amp; GARRISON LLP

1285 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019-6064

TELEPHONE (212) 373-3000

LLOYD K. GARRISON (1946-1991)  
RANDOLPH E. PAUL (1946-1956)  
SIMON H. RIFKIND (1950-1995)  
LOUIS S. WEISS (1927-1950)  
JOHN F. WHARTON (1927-1977)UNIT 5201, FORTUNE FINANCIAL CENTER  
5 DONGSANHUAN ZHONGLU  
CHAOYANG DISTRICT, BEIJING 100020, CHINA  
TELEPHONE (86-10) 5828-6300SUITES 3601 – 3606 & 3610  
36/F, GLOUCESTER TOWER  
THE LANDMARK  
15 QUEEN'S ROAD, CENTRAL  
HONG KONG  
TELEPHONE (852) 2846-0300

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

(212) 373-3061

WRITER'S DIRECT FACSIMILE

(212) 492-0061

WRITER'S DIRECT E-MAIL ADDRESS

cboehning@paulweiss.com

ALDER CASTLE  
10 NOBLE STREET  
LONDON EC2V 7JU, UNITED KINGDOM  
TELEPHONE (44 20) 7367 1600FUKOKU SEIMEI BUILDING  
2-2 UCHISAIWAICHO 2-CHOME  
CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO 100-0011, JAPAN  
TELEPHONE (81-3) 3597-8101TORONTO-DOMINION CENTRE  
77 KING STREET WEST, SUITE 3100  
P.O. BOX 226  
TORONTO, ONTARIO M5K 1J3  
TELEPHONE (416) 504-05202001 K STREET, NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1047  
TELEPHONE (202) 223-7300500 DELAWARE AVENUE, SUITE 200  
POST OFFICE BOX 32  
WILMINGTON, DE 19899-0032  
TELEPHONE (302) 655-4410

June 25, 2021

**By ECF**Hon. Valerie E. Caproni  
Thurgood Marshall  
United States Courthouse  
40 Foley Square  
New York, NY 10007*Relevant Sports, LLC v. FIFA et al.*, No. 19-cv-8359 (VEC)

Dear Judge Caproni:

This firm represents Fédération Internationale de Football Association (“FIFA”) in the above-captioned matter. We write in response to the notice of supplemental authority filed by Plaintiff Relevant Sports, LLC (“Relevant”) on June 23, 2021, concerning the Supreme Court’s decision in *National Collegiate Athletic Ass’n v. Alston*, No. 20-512 (June 21, 2021).

Contrary to Relevant’s suggestion, *Alston* changes nothing with respect to the insufficiency of Relevant’s pleading. *Alston* involved a challenge to a series of NCAA rules adopted by the members of the NCAA. Slip op. at 8 (“Specifically, they alleged that the NCAA’s rules violate §1 of the Sherman Act . . .”). The NCAA did not contest that the rules formally adopted by its members constituted concerted action within the meaning of Section 1 of the Sherman Act; the only issue was whether the NCAA’s rules violated the rule of reason.

MATTHEW W. ABBOTT  
EDWARD T. ACKERMAN  
JACOB A. ADLERSTEIN  
JUSTIN ANDERSON  
ALLAN J. ARFFA  
JONATHAN R. ASHTOR  
ROBERT A. ATKINS  
SCOTT A. BARSHAY  
PAUL M. BASTA  
J. STEVEN BAUGHMAN  
LYNN B. BAYARD  
CRAIG A. BENSON  
MARK S. BERGMAN  
DAVID M. BERNICK  
JOSEPH J. BIAL  
BRUCE BIRENBOIM  
H. CHRISTOPHER BOEHNING  
BRIAN BOLIN  
ANGELO BONVINO  
ROBERT A. BRITTON  
DAVID W. BROWN  
WALTER BROWN\*\*  
SUSANNA M. BUERGEL  
JESSICA S. CAREY  
DAVID CARMONA  
GEOFFREY R. CHEPIGA  
ELLEN N. CHING  
WILLIAM A. CLAREMAN  
LEWIS R. CLAYTON  
YAHONNES CLEARY  
RACHAEL G. COFFEY  
JAY COHEN  
KELLEY A. CORNISH  
CHRISTOPHER J. CUMMINGS  
THOMAS V. DE LA BASTIDE III  
MEREDITH DEARBORN\*\*  
ARIEL J. DECKELBAUM  
KAREN L. DUNN  
ALICE BELISLE EATON  
ANDREW J. EHRLICH  
GREGORY A. EZRING  
ROSS A. FIELDSTON  
ANDREW C. FINCH  
BRAD J. FINKELSTEIN  
BRIAN P. FINNEGAN  
ROBERTO FINZI  
PETER E. FISCH  
HARRIS FISCHMAN  
ANDREW J. FOLEY  
VICTORIA S. FORRESTER  
HARRIS B. FREIDUS  
CHRISTOPHER D. FREY  
MANUEL S. FREY  
ANDREW L. GAINES  
KENNETH A. GALLO  
MICHAEL E. GERTZMAN  
ADAM M. GIVERTZ  
SALVATORE GOGLIORMELLA  
NEIL GOLDMAN  
MATTHEW B. GOLDSTEIN  
ROBERTO J. GONZALEZ\*  
CATHERINE L. GOODALL  
CHARLES H. GOODE, JR.  
ANDREW G. GORDON  
BRIAN S. GRIEVE  
UDI GROFMAN  
NICHOLAS GROOMBRIDGE  
BRUCE A. GUTENPLAN  
MELINDA HAA\*  
ALAN S. HALPERIN  
CLAUDIA HAMMERMAN  
BRIAN S. HERMANN  
MICHELE HIRSHMAN  
JARRETT R. HOFFMAN  
ROBERT E. HOLO  
DAVID S. HUNTINGTON  
AMRAN HUSSEIN  
LORETTA A. IPPOLITO  
WILLIAM A. ISAACSON\*  
JAREN JANGHORANI  
BRIAN M. JANSON  
JEH C. JOHNSON  
BRAD S. KARP  
PATRICK N. KARSNITZ  
JOHN C. KENNEDY  
BRIAN KIM  
KYLE J. KIMPLER  
ALEXIA D. KORBERG

ALAN W. KORNBERG  
DANIEL J. KRAMER  
BRIAN KRAUSE  
CAITH KUSHNER  
DAVID K. LAKHDHIR  
GREGORY F. LAUFER  
BRIAN C. LAVIN  
XIAOYU GREG LIU  
RANDY LUSKEY\*\*  
LORETTA E. LYNCH  
JEFFREY D. MARELL  
MARCO V. MASOTTI  
DAVID W. MAYO  
ELIZABETH R. MCCOLM  
JEAN M. MCLOUGHLIN  
ALVARO MEMBRILLERA  
MARK F. MENDELSON  
CLAUDINE MEREDITH-GOUJON  
WILLIAM B. MICHAEL  
JUDIE NG SHORTELL\*  
CATHERINE NYARADY  
JANE B. O'BRIEN  
BRAD R. OKUN  
LINDSAY B. PARKS  
ANDREW M. PARLEN  
DANIELLE C. PENHALL  
CHARLES J. PESANT  
JESSICA E. PHILLIPS\*  
AUSTIN POLLET\*\*  
VALERIE E. RADWANER  
JEFFREY J. RECHER  
CARL L. REISNER  
LORIN L. REISNER  
JEANNE S. RHEE\*  
WALTER G. RICCIARDI  
RICHARD A. ROSEN  
ANDREW N. ROSENBERG  
JUSTIN ROSENBERG  
JACQUELINE P. RUBIN  
CHARLES F. “RICK” RULE\*  
RAHMAEL M. RUSSO  
ELIZABETH M. SACKSTEDER  
JEFFREY D. SAFERSTEIN  
JEFFREY B. SAMUELS  
KENNETH M. SCHNEIDER  
ROBERT B. SCHUMER  
JOHN M. SCOTT  
BRIAN SCRIVANI  
KYLE T. SEIFRIED  
KANNON K. SHANMUGAM\*  
CULLEN L. SINCLAIR  
AUDRA J. SOLOWAY  
SCOTT M. SONTAG  
SARAH STASNY  
TARUN M. STEWART  
ERIC ALAN STONE  
AIDAN SYNNOTT  
BRETTE TANNENBAUM  
RICHARD C. TARLOWE  
DAVID TARR  
MONICA K. THURMOND  
DANIEL J. TOAL  
LAURA C. TURANO  
CONRAD VAN LOGGERENBERG  
KRISHNA VEERARAGHAVAN  
JEREMY M. VEIT  
LIZAM M. VELAZQUEZ  
MICHAEL VOGEL  
RAMY J. WAHBEH  
JOHN WEBER  
LAWRENCE G. WEE  
THEODORE V. WELLS, JR.  
LINDSEY L. WIERSMA  
STEVEN J. WILLIAMS  
LAWRENCE I. WITDORCHIC  
AUSTIN WITT  
MARK B. WLAZLO  
ADAM WOLLSTEIN  
JULIA TARVER MASON WOOD  
JENNIFER H. WU  
BETTY YAP\*  
JORDAN E. YARETT  
KAYE N. YOSHINO  
TONG YU  
TRACEY A. ZACCONE  
TAURIE M. ZEITZER  
T. ROBERT ZOCHOWSKI, JR.

\*NOT ADMITTED TO THE NEW YORK BAR  
\*\*ADMITTED ONLY TO THE CALIFORNIA BAR

In contrast, Relevant's Amended Complaint does not challenge FIFA's rules themselves, but rather an alleged horizontal conspiracy among sports leagues and teams to divide geographic markets. (*See* Am. Compl., ECF No. 57, ¶ 4 (“Horizontally, it is an agreement among the FIFA-affiliated top-tier men’s professional soccer leagues and their teams, who are actual and potential competitors with one another, to geographically allocate the markets in which they are permitted to stage official season games, including in the U.S. market.”).) Unlike the colleges and conferences that participate in the NCAA, the supposed league and team conspirators at the center of Relevant’s allegations are not members of FIFA. In its opposition to the motions to dismiss, Relevant explained that it is alleging that FIFA’s rules are *evidence* of the alleged horizontal conspiracy involving these leagues and teams: “FIFA’s pronouncements and written rules are direct *evidence* of Defendants’ and their alleged conspirators’ conscious commitment to this common scheme.” (Relevant Opp., ECF No. 77, at 32 (emphasis supplied).)

In order to make out the horizontal conspiracy to divide markets alleged in the Amended Complaint, Relevant would have had to allege facts showing “an agreement [among FIFA’s members] to agree to vote a particular way.” *North American Soccer League, LLC v. United States Soccer Federation, Inc.*, 883 F.3d 32, 39 (2d Cir. 2018). As set forth in FIFA’s briefs (FIFA Mot., ECF No. 69; FIFA Reply, ECF No. 79), the Amended Complaint is entirely devoid of such allegations.

Moreover, even if Relevant had brought a challenge to FIFA’s rules themselves, *Alston* disposes of Relevant’s assertion that any such challenge would be considered under the *per se* rule. The NCAA argued that its rules on player compensation should be upheld under a very cursory application of the rule of reason, but the Supreme Court held that those rules should instead be analyzed under “ordinary rule of reason review.” Slip. op. at 18. As set forth in FIFA’s Motion to Dismiss and during argument on June 15, Relevant’s allegations are entirely insufficient under the rule of reason, since the relevant product and geographic markets it alleges are facially gerrymandered and subject to dismissal under Second Circuit precedent. (FIFA Mot., ECF No. 69, at 20–23; FIFA Reply, ECF No. 79, at 8–9; Tr. of 6/15/21 Argument at 19:12–21:24, 24:12–25:1.)

Finally, although the recent oral argument on Defendants’ motions to dismiss focused solely on substantive antitrust issues, FIFA also has raised the absence of personal jurisdiction and respectfully refers the Court to its briefs on that subject. (FIFA Mot., ECF No. 69, at 7–15; FIFA Reply, ECF No. 79, at 1–6.)

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ H. Christopher Boehning

H. Christopher Boehning

cc: All Counsel of Record (via ECF)